arXiv:1208.4007v1 [math.AP] 20 Aug 2012

General decay of the solution for a viscoelastic wave equation with a time-varying delay term in the internal feedback

Wenjun Liu
College of Mathematics and Statistics, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing 210044, China
E-mail: wjliu@nuist.edu.cn

Abstract: In this paper we consider a viscoelastic wave equation with a time-varying delay term, the coefficient of which is not necessarily positive. By introducing suitable energy and Lyapunov functionals, under suitable assumptions, we establish a general energy decay result from which the exponential and polynomial types of decay are only special cases.

Keywords: viscoelastic wave equation; time-varying delay; internal feedback; general energy decay

AMS Subject Classification (2000): 35L05; 35L15; 35L70; 93D15

1 Introduction

In this work, we investigate the following viscoelastic wave equation with a linear damping and a time-varying delay term in the internal feedback

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x,t) - \Delta u(x,t) + \displaystyle\int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(x,s)\,\mathrm{d}s & \\ \qquad\qquad +a_0 u_t(x,t) + a_1 u_t(x,t-\tau(t)) = 0, & (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,\infty), \\ u(x,t) = 0, & (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0,\infty), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x,0) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ u_t(x,t) = f_0(x,t) & (x,t) \in \Omega \times [-\tau(0), 0), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)$ with a boundary $\partial\Omega$ of class C^2, a_0 and a_1 are real numbers with $a_0 > 0$, $\tau(t) > 0$ represents the time-varying delay, and the initial datum u_0, u_1, f_0 are given functions belonging to suitable spaces.

The viscoelastic wave equation without delay (i.e., $a_1 = 0$), has been considered by many authors during the past decades. Cavalcanti et al. [7] studied

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-\tau)\Delta u(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau + a(x)u_t + |u|^\gamma u = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,\infty),$$

for $a : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^+$, a function, which may be null on a part of the domain Ω. Under the conditions that $a(x) \geq a_0 > 0$ on $\omega \subset \Omega$, with ω satisfying some geometry restrictions and

$$-\xi_1 g(t) \leq g'(t) \leq -\xi_2 g(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

the authors established an exponential rate of decay. Berrimi and Messaoudi [3] improved Cavalcanti's result by introducing a different functional which allowed to weak the conditions on both a and g. In [8], Cavalcanti et al. considered

$$u_{tt} - k_0 \Delta u + \int_0^t \operatorname{div}[a(x) g(t-\tau) \nabla u(\tau)] \mathrm{d}\tau + b(x) h(u_t) + f(u) = 0,$$

under similar conditions on the relaxation function g and $a(x) + b(x) \geq \rho > 0$, for all $x \in \Omega$. They improved the result of [7] by establishing exponential stability for g decaying exponentially and h linear and polynomial stability for g decaying polynomially and h nonlinear. Berrimi and Messaoudi [4] considered

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \Delta u(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau = |u|^{p-2} u, \quad p > 2$$

in a bounded domain. They showed, under weaker conditions than those in [8], that the solution is global and decay in a polynomial or exponential fashion when the initial data is small enough. Then Messaoudi [19] improved this result by establishing a general decay of energy which is similar to the relaxation function. For other related works, we refer the readers to [6, 15, 17, 18, 26, 20, 29, 31, 32, 33] and the references therein.

In recent years, the control of PDEs with time delay effects has become an active area of research, see for instance [1, 16, 27, 28] and the references therein. The presence of delay may be a source of instability. For example, it was proved in [9, 10, 21, 22, 30] that an arbitrarily small delay may destabilize a system which is uniformly asymptotically stable in the absence of delay unless additional conditions or control terms have been used. In [21], Nicaise and Pignotti examined (1.1) with $g \equiv 0, a_0 > 0, a_1 > 0$ and $\tau(t) \equiv \tau$ be a constant delay in the case of mixed homogeneous Dirichlet-Neumann boundary conditions, under a geometric condition on the Neumann part of the boundary. Assuming that $0 \leq a_1 < a_0$, a stabilization result is given, by using a suitable observability estimate and inequalities obtained from Carleman estimates for the wave equation due to Lasiecka et al. in [14]. However, for the opposite case $a_1 \geq a_0$, they were able to construct a sequence of delays for which the corresponding solution is unstable. The same results were obtained for the case when both the damping and the delay act on the boundary, see also [2] for the treatment of this problem in more general abstract form. Kirane and Said-Houari [13] considered (1.1) with $a_0 > 0, a_1 > 0$ and $\tau(t) \equiv \tau$ be a constant delay in the case of initial and Dirichlet boundary conditions. They established general energy decay results under the condition that $0 \leq a_1 \leq a_0$.

Recently, the stability of PDEs with time-varying delays was studied in [5, 11, 23, 24, 25]. In [24], Nicaise et al. analyzed the exponential stability of the heat and wave equations with time-varying boundary delay in one space dimension, under the condition $0 \leq a_1 < \sqrt{1-d}\, a_0$, where d is a constant such that $\tau'(t) \leq d < 1, \forall\, t > 0$. In [23], Nicaise and Pignotti studied the stabilization problem by interior damping of the wave equation with internal time-varying delay feedback and obtained exponential stability estimates by introducing suitable Lyapunov functionals, under the condition $|a_1| < \sqrt{1-d}\, a_0$ in which the positivity of the coefficient a_1 is not necessary.

Motivatied by these results, we investigate in this paper system (1.1) under suitable assumptions and prove a general decay result from which the exponential and polynomial types of decay are only special cases. Our main novel contribution is an extension of previous results from [13, 21] to time-varying delays with not necessarily positive coefficient a_1 of the delay term. This extension is not straightforward due to the loss of translation-invariance. For our purpose, we introduce new energy and Lyapunov functionals, which take into account the dependence of the delay with respect to time.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present some assumptions and state the main result. The general decay result is proved in Sections 3.

2 Preliminaries and main result

In this section, we present some assumptions and state the main result. We use the standard Lebesgue space $L^2(\Omega)$ and the Sobolev space $H_0^1(\Omega)$ with their usual scalar products and norms. Throughout this paper, C_i is used to denote a generic positive constant.

For the relaxation function g, we assume the following (see [18, 19]):

(G1) $g(t) : [0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ is a non-increasing C^1 function such that

$$1 - \int_0^{\infty} g(s)\, \mathrm{d}s = l > 0.$$

(G2) There exists a positive non-increasing differentiable function $\xi(t)$ such that

$$g'(t) \le -\xi(t) g(t), \quad t \ge 0,$$

and

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \xi(t) \mathrm{d}t = \infty.$$

For the time-varying delay, we assume as in [23] that there exist positive constants τ_0, $\overline{\tau}$ such that

$$0 < \tau_0 \le \tau(t) \le \overline{\tau}, \quad \forall\ t > 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover, we assume that the speed of the delay satisfies

$$\tau'(t) \le d < 1, \quad \forall\ t > 0, \tag{2.2}$$

that

$$\tau \in W^{2,\infty}([0, T]), \quad \forall\ T > 0 \tag{2.3}$$

and that a_0, a_1 satisfy

$$|a_1| < \sqrt{1-d}\, a_0. \tag{2.4}$$

As in [23], let us introduce the function

$$z(x,\rho,t) = u_t(x, t-\tau(t)\rho), \quad x \in \Omega,\ \rho \in (0,1),\ t > 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Then, problem (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x,t) - \Delta u(x,t) + \displaystyle\int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(x,s)\,\mathrm{d}s & \\ \qquad\qquad +a_0 u_t(x,t) + a_1 z(x,1,t) = 0, & (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,\infty), \\ \tau(t) z_t(x,\rho,t) + (1-\tau'(t)\rho) z_\rho(x,\rho,t) = 0, & (x,\rho,t) \in \Omega \times (0,1) \times (0,\infty), \\ u(x,t) = 0, & (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0,\infty), \\ z(x,0,t) = u_t(x,t), & (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,\infty), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x,0) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ z(x,\rho,0) = f_0(x, -\rho\tau(0)), & (x,\rho) \in \Omega \times (0,1), \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

We now state, without a proof, a well-posedness result, which can be established by combining the arguments of [12, 13].

Lemma 2.1 *Let* (2.1)–(2.4) *be satisfied and* g *satisfies* (G1). *Then given* $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $f_0 \in L^2(\Omega \times (0,1))$ *and* $T > 0$, *there exists a unique weak solution* (u,z) *of the problem* (2.6) *on* $(0,T)$ *such that*

$$u \in C(0,T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap C^1(0,T; L^2(\Omega)), \quad u_t \in L^2(0,T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2((0,T)\times\Omega).$$

Inspired by [19, 23], we define the new energy functional as

$$E(t) := \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \left[u_t^2 + \left(1 - \int_0^t g(s)\mathrm{d}s\right)|\nabla u|^2\right]\mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ + \frac{\xi}{2}\int_{t-\tau(t)}^t \int_\Omega e^{\lambda(s-t)} u_t^2(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s, \tag{2.7}$$

where ξ, λ are suitable positive constants, and

$$(g \circ v)(t) = \int_\Omega \int_0^t g(t-s)|v(t) - v(s)|^2 \mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}x, \quad \forall\ v \in L^2(\Omega).$$

We will fix ξ such that

$$2a_0 - \frac{|a_1|}{\sqrt{1-d}} - \xi > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi - \frac{|a_1|}{\sqrt{1-d}} > 0, \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\lambda < \frac{1}{\overline{\tau}}\left|\log \frac{|a_1|}{\xi\sqrt{1-d}}\right|. \tag{2.9}$$

In fact, the existence of such a constant ξ is guaranteed by the assumption (2.4).

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 2.2 *Let* (2.1)–(2.4) *be satisfied and* g *satisfies* (G1) *and* (G2). *Then there exist two positive constants* K, k *such that, for any solution of problem* (1.1), *the energy satisfies*

$$E(t) \leq K e^{-k \int_{t_0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d}s}, \quad \forall\ t \geq t_0. \tag{2.10}$$

Remark 1 *Note that the exponential or polynomial decay estimate is only a particular case of* (2.10). *More precisely, we can obtain exponential decay for* $\xi(t) \equiv a$ *and polynomial decay for* $\xi(t) \equiv a(1+t)^{-1}$, *where* $a > 0$ *is a constant.*

Remark 2 *Estimate* (2.10) *is also true for* $t \in [0, t_0]$ *by virtue of the continuity and boundedness of* $E(t)$ *and* $\xi(t)$.

3 General decay of the solution

As mentioned earlier, the proof of the general decay result is given in this section. We have the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 *Let* (2.1)–(2.4) *be satisfied and* g *satisfies* (G1). *Then for all regular solution of problem* (1.1), *the energy functional defined by* (2.7) *is non-increasing and satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) \leq & \frac{1}{2}(g' \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{1}{2} g(t) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 \mathrm{d}x - C_1 \int_\Omega \left[u_t^2(x,t) + u_t^2(x, t-\tau(t)) \right] \mathrm{d}x \\ & - \frac{\lambda \xi}{2} \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} \int_\Omega e^{\lambda(s-t)} u_t^2(x,s) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \leq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for some positive constant C_1.

Proof. Differentiating (2.7) and by (1.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) = & \int_\Omega \left[u_t u_{tt} + \left(1 - \int_0^t g(s) \mathrm{d}s \right) \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_t - \frac{1}{2} g(t) |\nabla u|^2 \right] \mathrm{d}x \\ & + \int_0^t g(t-s) \int_\Omega \nabla u_t(t) \cdot [\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)] \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g'(t-s) \int_\Omega |\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)|^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \\ & + \frac{\xi}{2} \int_\Omega u_t^2(x,t) \mathrm{d}x - \frac{\xi}{2} \int_\Omega e^{-\lambda \tau(t)} u_t^2(x, t-\tau(t))(1-\tau'(t)) \mathrm{d}x \\ & - \lambda \frac{\xi}{2} \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} \int_\Omega e^{-\lambda(t-s)} u_t^2(x,s) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \\ = & \int_\Omega \left[u_t u_{tt} + \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_t - \int_0^t g(t-s) \nabla u(s) \cdot \nabla u_t(t) \mathrm{d}s \right] \mathrm{d}x \\ & - \frac{1}{2} g(t) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{2}(g' \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{\xi}{2} \int_\Omega u_t^2(x,t) \mathrm{d}x \\ & - \frac{\xi}{2} \int_\Omega e^{-\lambda \tau(t)} u_t^2(x, t-\tau(t))(1-\tau'(t)) \mathrm{d}x - \lambda \frac{\xi}{2} \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} \int_\Omega e^{-\lambda(t-s)} u_t^2(x,s) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned}$$

and then, using integration by parts, the assumptions (2.1)–(2.2) and some manipulations as in [23],

$$\begin{aligned}
E'(t) = & - a_0 \int_\Omega u_t^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x - a_1 \int_\Omega u_t(t) \int_\Omega u_t(t-\tau(t))\mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{2} g(t) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 \mathrm{d}x \\
& + \frac{1}{2}(g' \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{\xi}{2} \int_\Omega u_t^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x - \frac{\xi}{2} \int_\Omega e^{-\lambda\tau(t)} u_t^2(x,t-\tau(t))(1-\tau'(t))\mathrm{d}x \\
& - \lambda \frac{\xi}{2} \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t \int_\Omega e^{-\lambda(t-s)} u_t^2(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \\
\leq & - a_0 \int_\Omega u_t^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x - a_1 \int_\Omega u_t(t) \int_\Omega u_t(t-\tau(t))\mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{2} g(t) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 \mathrm{d}x \\
& + \frac{1}{2}(g' \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{\xi}{2} \int_\Omega u_t^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x - \frac{\xi}{2}(1-d) e^{-\lambda\overline{\tau}} \int_\Omega u_t^2(x,t-\tau(t))\mathrm{d}x \\
& - \lambda \frac{\xi}{2} \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t \int_\Omega e^{-\lambda(t-s)} u_t^2(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}(g' \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{1}{2} g(t) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 \mathrm{d}x - \left(a_0 - \frac{|a_1|}{2\sqrt{1-d}} - \frac{\xi}{2} \right) \int_\Omega u_t^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x \\
& - \left(e^{-\lambda\overline{\tau}} \frac{\xi}{2}(1-d) - \frac{|a_1|}{2}\sqrt{1-d} \right) \int_\Omega u_t^2(x,t-\tau(t))\mathrm{d}x \\
& - \lambda \frac{\xi}{2} \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t \int_\Omega e^{-\lambda(t-s)} u_t^2(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s. \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.8)–(2.9), (3.2) and hypothese (G1), (3.1) is established. □

Now we are going to construct a Lyapunov functional L equivalent to E. For this purpose, we define the following functionals:

$$I(t) := \int_\Omega u u_t \mathrm{d}x, \qquad (3.3)$$

$$K(t) := - \int_\Omega u_t \int_0^t g(t-s)(u(t)-u(s))\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}x, \qquad (3.4)$$

Set

$$L(t) = NE(t) + \varepsilon I(t) + K(t) \qquad (3.5)$$

where N and ε are suitable positive constants to be determined later. Similar as in [19], we can prove that, for ε small enough while N large enough, there exist two positive constants β_1, β_2 such that

$$\beta_1 E(t) \leq L(t) \leq \beta_2 E(t), \quad \forall\, t \geq 0. \qquad (3.6)$$

The following estimates hold true.

Lemma 3.2 *Under the assumption* (G1), *the functional I satisfies, along the solution, the estimate*

$$I'(t) \leq -\frac{l}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 \mathrm{d}x + C_2 \int_\Omega [u_t^2(x,t) + u_t^2(x,t-\tau(t))]\mathrm{d}x + C_3 (g \circ \nabla u)(t). \qquad (3.7)$$

Proof. Differentiating and integrating by parts

$$\begin{aligned}I'(t)=&\int_\Omega u_t^2\mathrm{d}x+\int_\Omega u\left(\Delta u-\int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(s)\mathrm{d}s-a_0u_t(t)-a_1u_t(t-\tau(t))\right)\mathrm{d}x\\=&\int_\Omega u_t^2\mathrm{d}x-l\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2\mathrm{d}x+\int_\Omega\nabla u\cdot\int_0^t g(t-s)(\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t))\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}x\\&-a_0\int_\Omega u(t)u_t(t)\mathrm{d}x-a_1\int_\Omega u(t)u_t(t-\tau(t))\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

Now, using Young's inequality and (G1), we obtain (see [19])

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega\nabla u\cdot\int_0^t g(t-s)(\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t))\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}x\\\le&\delta\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2\mathrm{d}x+\frac{1}{4\delta}\int_\Omega\left(\int_0^t g(t-s)|\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t)|\mathrm{d}s\right)^2\mathrm{d}x\\\le&\delta\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2\mathrm{d}x+\frac{1-l}{4\delta}(g\circ\nabla u)(t),\quad\forall\ \delta>0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

Also, using Young's and Poincaré's inequalities gives

$$-a_0\int_\Omega u(t)u_t(t)\mathrm{d}x\le\delta\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2\mathrm{d}x+C(\delta)\int_\Omega u_t^2\mathrm{d}x,\tag{3.10}$$

$$-a_1\int_\Omega u(t)u_t(t-\tau(t))\mathrm{d}x\le\delta\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2\mathrm{d}x+C(\delta)\int_\Omega u_t^2(t-\tau(t))\mathrm{d}x.\tag{3.11}$$

Combining (3.8)–(3.11) and choosing δ small enough, we obtain (3.7). □

Lemma 3.3 *Under the assumption* (G1)*, the functional K satisfies, along the solution, the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned}K'(t)\le&-\left(\int_0^t g(s)\mathrm{d}s-2\delta\right)\int_\Omega u_t^2\mathrm{d}x+\delta\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2\mathrm{d}x+\frac{C_4}{\delta}(g\circ\nabla u)(t)\\&-\frac{C_5}{\delta}(g'\circ\nabla u)(t)+\delta\int_\Omega u_t^2(t-\tau(t))\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

Proof. By exploiting (1.1) and integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}K'(t)=&\left(1-\int_0^t g(s)\mathrm{d}s\right)\int_\Omega\nabla u\cdot\int_0^t g(t-s)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s))\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}x\\&+\int_\Omega\left(\int_0^t g(t-s)(\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t))\mathrm{d}s\right)^2\mathrm{d}x-\int_\Omega u_t\int_0^t g'(t-s)(u(t)-u(s))\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}x\\&-\int_0^t g(s)\mathrm{d}s\int_\Omega u_t^2\mathrm{d}x+\int_\Omega\left(\int_0^t g(t-s)(u(t)-u(s))\mathrm{d}s\right)[a_0u_t(t)+a_1u_t(t-\tau(t))]\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}$$

Using Young's and Poincaré's inequalities, we obtain (see [19, 18])

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(1-\int_0^t g(s)\mathrm{d}s\right)\int_\Omega\nabla u\cdot\int_0^t g(t-s)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s))\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}x\\\le&\delta\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2\mathrm{d}x+\frac{C}{\delta}(g\circ\nabla u)(t),\end{aligned}$$

$$-\int_\Omega u_t \int_0^t g'(t-s)(u(t)-u(s))\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}x \le \delta \int_\Omega u_t^2 \mathrm{d}x - \frac{C}{\delta}(g' \circ \nabla u)(t),$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega \left(\int_0^t g(t-s)(u(t)-u(s))\mathrm{d}s\right)[a_0 u_t(t) + a_1 u_t(t-\tau(t))]\mathrm{d}x\\ \le&\frac{C}{\delta}(g\circ\nabla u)(t) + \delta\int_\Omega u_t^2\mathrm{d}x + \delta\int_\Omega u_t^2(t-\tau(t))\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}$$

Combining all above estimates, (3.12) is established. $\square$

Now, we are ready to prove the general decay result.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Since g is positive, we have, for any $t_0 > 0$,

$$\int_0^t g(s)\mathrm{d}s \ge \int_0^{t_0} g(s)\mathrm{d}s := g_0 > 0, \quad t \ge t_0.$$

By using (3.1), (3.5), (3.7) and (3.12), a series of computations yields, for $t \ge t_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}L'(t) \le&\frac{N}{2}(g'\circ\nabla u)(t) - \frac{N}{2}g(t)\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2\mathrm{d}x - NC_1\int_\Omega[u_t^2(x,t)+u_t^2(x,t-\tau(t))]\mathrm{d}x\\ &-\frac{\lambda\xi N}{2}\int_{t-\tau(t)}^t\int_\Omega e^{\lambda(s-t)}u_t^2(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s + \varepsilon C_2\int_\Omega[u_t^2(x,t)+u_t^2(x,t-\tau(t))]\mathrm{d}x\\ &-\frac{\varepsilon l}{2}\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2\mathrm{d}x + \varepsilon C_3(g\circ\nabla u)(t) - \left(\int_0^t g(s)\mathrm{d}s - 2\delta\right)\int_\Omega u_t^2\mathrm{d}x + \delta\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2\mathrm{d}x\\ &+\frac{C_4}{\delta}(g\circ\nabla u)(t) - \frac{C_5}{\delta}(g'\circ\nabla u)(t) + \delta\int_\Omega u_t^2(t-\tau(t))\mathrm{d}x\\ =&-[(NC_1+g_0)-2\delta-\varepsilon C_2]\int_\Omega u_t^2\mathrm{d}x + \left(\varepsilon C_3 + \frac{1}{\delta}C_4\right)(g\circ\nabla u)(t)\\ &+\left(\frac{N}{2}-\frac{C_5}{\delta}\right)(g'\circ\nabla u)(t) - \left(\frac{\varepsilon l}{2}-\delta\right)\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2\mathrm{d}x\\ &-(NC_1-\delta-\varepsilon C_2)\int_\Omega u_t^2(x,t-\tau(t))\mathrm{d}x\\ &-\frac{\lambda\xi N}{2}\int_{t-\tau(t)}^t\int_\Omega e^{\lambda(s-t)}u_t^2(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

At this point, we choose ε small enough such that $\varepsilon < \frac{g_0}{2C_2}$ and (3.6) hold, and δ sufficiently small such that

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\varepsilon l}{2} - \delta > 0.$$

As long as ε and δ are fixed, we choose N large enough such that

$$NC_1 - 2\delta > 0, \quad \alpha_2 = NC_1 - \delta - \varepsilon C_2 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{N}{2} - \frac{C_5}{\delta} > 0.$$

Thus, it follows from (G2) and (3.13) that

$$\begin{aligned}L'(t) \le&\frac{g_0}{2}\int_\Omega u_t^2\mathrm{d}x - \alpha_1\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2\mathrm{d}x - \alpha_2\int_\Omega u_t^2(x,t-\tau(t))\mathrm{d}x\\ &-\frac{\lambda\xi N}{2}\int_{t-\tau(t)}^t\int_\Omega e^{\lambda(s-t)}u_t^2(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s + \alpha_4(g\circ\nabla u)(t)\\ \le&-C_6E(t) + \alpha_4(g\circ\nabla u)(t), \quad \forall\ t \ge t_0,\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

where $\alpha_4 = \varepsilon C_3 + \frac{1}{\delta} C_4 > 0$. It follows from (3.14), (G1) and (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(t)L'(t) \leq & -C_6\xi(t)E(t) + \alpha_4\xi(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ \leq & -C_6\xi(t)E(t) - \alpha_4(g' \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ \leq & -C_6\xi(t)E(t) - C_7E'(t), \quad \forall\, t \geq t_0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

That is

$$F'(t) \leq -C_8\xi(t)E(t) \leq -k\xi(t)F(t), \quad \forall\, t \geq t_0, \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$F(t) = \xi(t)L(t) + C_7E(t)$$

is clearly equivalent to $E(t)$ and k is a positive constant.

Consequently, (2.10) can be obtained by (3.6) and (3.16). □

Acknowledgments

This work was partly supported by the Tianyuan Fund of Mathematics (Grant No. 11026211) and the Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (Grant No. 09KJB110005).

References

[1] C. Abdallah, P. Dorato and R. Byrne, Delayed positive feedback can stabilize oscillatory system, Proceedings of the American Control Conference, San Francisco, 3106-3107 (1993).

[2] E. M. Ait Benhassi et al., Feedback stabilization of a class of evolution equations with delay, J. Evol. Equ. **9** (2009), no. 1, 103–121.

[3] S. Berrimi and S. A. Messaoudi, Exponential decay of solutions to a viscoelastic equation with nonlinear localized damping, Electron. J. Differential Equations **2004**, No. 88, 10 pp.

[4] S. Berrimi and S. A. Messaoudi, Existence and decay of solutions of a viscoelastic equation with a nonlinear source, Nonlinear Anal. **64** (2006), no. 10, 2314–2331.

[5] T. Caraballo, J. Real and L. Shaikhet, Method of Lyapunov functionals construction in stability of delay evolution equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **334** (2007), no. 2, 1130–1145.

[6] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. Domingos Cavalcanti, J. S. Prates Filho and J. A. Soriano, Existence and uniform decay rates for viscoelastic problems with nonlinear boundary damping, Differential Integral Equations **14** (2001), no. 1, 85–116.

[7] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. Domingos Cavalcanti and J. A. Soriano, Exponential decay for the solution of semilinear viscoelastic wave equations with localized damping, Electron. J. Differential Equations **2002**, No. 44, 14 pp.

[8] M. M. Cavalcanti and H. P. Oquendo, Frictional versus viscoelastic damping in a semilinear wave equation, SIAM J. Control Optim. **42** (2003), no. 4, 1310–1324.

[9] R. Datko, Not all feedback stabilized hyperbolic systems are robust with respect to small time delays in their feedbacks, SIAM J. Control Optim. **26** (1988), no. 3, 697–713.

[10] R. Datko, J. Lagnese and M. P. Polis, An example on the effect of time delays in boundary feedback stabilization of wave equations, SIAM J. Control Optim. **24** (1986), no. 1, 152–156.

[11] E. Fridman and Y. Orlov, On Stability of Linear Parabolic Distributed Parameter Systems with Time-Varying Delays, 46th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, December 2007, New Orleans.

[12] E. Fridman, S. Nicaise and J. Valein, Stabilization of second order evolution equations with unbounded feedback with time-dependent delay, SIAM J. Control Optim. **48** (2010), no. 8, 5028–5052.

[13] M. Kirane and B. Said-Houari, Existence and asymptotic stability of a viscoelastic wave equation with a delay, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **62** (2011), no. 6, 1065–1082.

[14] I. Lasiecka, R. Triggiani and P.-F. Yao, Inverse/observability estimates for second-order hyperbolic equations with variable coefficients, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **235** (1999), no. 1, 13–57.

[15] W. J. Liu, The exponential stabilization of the higher-dimensional linear system of thermoviscoelasticity, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **77** (1998), no. 4, 355–386.

[16] W. J. Liu, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of time-delayed Burgers' equation, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B **2** (2002), no. 1, 47–56.

[17] W. J. Liu, General decay rate estimate for a viscoelastic equation with weakly nonlinear time-dependent dissipation and source terms, J. Math. Phys. **50** (2009), no. 11, 113506, 17 pp.

[18] W. J. Liu and J. Yu, On decay and blow-up of the solution for a viscoelastic wave equation with boundary damping and source terms, Nonlinear Anal. **74** (2011), no. 6, 2175–2190.

[19] S. A. Messaoudi, General decay of the solution energy in a viscoelastic equation with a nonlinear source, Nonlinear Anal. **69** (2008), no. 8, 2589–2598.

[20] S. A. Messaoudi and M. I. Mustafa, On the control of solutions of viscoelastic equations with boundary feedback, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **10** (2009), no. 5, 3132–3140.

[21] S. Nicaise and C. Pignotti, Stability and instability results of the wave equation with a delay term in the boundary or internal feedbacks, SIAM J. Control Optim. **45** (2006), no. 5, 1561–1585.

[22] S. Nicaise and C. Pignotti, Stabilization of the wave equation with boundary or internal distributed delay, Differential Integral Equations **21** (2008), no. 9-10, 935–958.

[23] S. Nicaise and C. Pignotti, Interior feedback stabilization of wave equations with time dependent delay, Electron. J. Differential Equations **2011**, No. 41, 20 pp.

[24] S. Nicaise, J. Valein and E. Fridman, Stability of the heat and of the wave equations with boundary time-varying delays, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S **2** (2009), no. 3, 559–581.

[25] Y. Orlov and E. Fridman, On Exponential Stability of Linear Retarded Distributed Param- eter Systems, IFAC Workshop on TDS, September 2007, Nantes.

[26] J. Y. Park and J. R. Kang, Global attractor for hyperbolic equation with nonlinear damping and linear memory, Sci. China Math. **53** (2010), no. 6, 1531–1539.

[27] Y. F. Shang, G. Q. Xu and Y. L. Chen, Stability analysis of Euler-Bernoulli beam with input delay in the boundary control, Asian J. Control **14** (2012), no. 1, 186–196.

[28] I. H. Suh, Z. Bien, Use of time delay action in the controller design, IEEE Trans. Autom. Control 25 (1980), pp. 600–603.

[29] F. Q. Sun and M. X. Wang, Global and blow-up solutions for a system of nonlinear hyperbolic equations with dissipative terms, Nonlinear Anal. **64** (2006), no. 4, 739–761.

[30] G. Q. Xu, S. P. Yung and L. K. Li, Stabilization of wave systems with input delay in the boundary control, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. **12** (2006), no. 4, 770–785

[31] S. Q. Yu, Polynomial stability of solutions for a system of non-linear viscoelastic equations, Appl. Anal. **88** (2009), no. 7, 1039–1051.

[32] S.-T. Wu, General decay and blow-up of solutions for a viscoelastic equation with non-linear boundary damping-source interactions, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **63** (2012), no. 1, 65–106.

[33] E. Zuazua, Exponential decay for the semilinear wave equation with locally distributed damping, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **15** (1990), no. 2, 205–235.